Name:

3/20/23

Type I and Type II Errors

$\mathcal{A}.\mathcal{M}.\mathcal{D}.\mathcal{G}.$

A town in California relies heavily on tourists coming in to fish at the local lake. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife is concerned that there is a level of toxicity in the lake and that they may potentially shut down the lake. They decide that if more than 4% of the fish caught in the lake have detectable levels of mercury, they will shut down fishing at the lake (potentially devastating the local economy).

- a) What are the null and alternative hypotheses?
- b) What is the minimum number of fish that the Department of Fish and Wildlife should catch to make sure that they will have a normal distribution for their evaluation of the population proportion?

c) Describe a Type I and a Type II error in this situation in context of the problem.Type I:

Type II:

d) The Department of Fish and Wildlife proposes two testing scenarios, one in which $\alpha = 0.01$ and $\beta = 0.10$, the other of which has an $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.05$. Which testing scenario do you think the town would prefer? Explain your answer.